| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--------------|--|
| | 10/000,227 | CHEN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| · | John Juba, Jr. | 2872 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Allowed herewith</u> | | |
| (1) <u>John Juba, Jr.</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) Mr. Brian C. McCormack. | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 8 March 2004 | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No | | | |
| If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| Claims discussed: 17-31 and 42-49 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability: | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| , | | | |
| · | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | | |
| (Applicant | a, applicant o i topi occitative o | .a | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' election having been made with traverse in paper #6 (March 5, 2003), and this application otherwise being in condition for allowance, the examiner telephoned Applicants' representative to get authorization before canceling claims to the non-elected invention.